Connecting Dots From Hamilton's Principle to Schrödinger's Equation

Pedro Tredezini

Institute of Physics

March 14, 2019

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

Table of contents

- 🚺 Nature is just as lazy as us
- 2 Getting less lazy
- 3 Invariance is on steroids now
- 4 Action as a generator
- 5 Almost There
- 6 Grand Finale

Nature is just as lazy as us

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

Introduction

Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, \dot{q_1}, \dot{q_2}, \dots, \dot{q_n}, t) = \mathcal{L}(q, \dot{q}, t) = T - U$$

Action:

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{L} \; \mathsf{dt}$$

Hamilton's Principle

Hamilton's Principle: The system's physical path is the one for which the action S is minimal.

 $\delta S = 0$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) = 0$$

Apparently, we care about invariance

Change of coordinates $(q \rightarrow Q)$:

 $Q_k = Q_k(q, t)$ $q_k = q_k(Q, t)$

$$\dot{q_k} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial q_k}{\partial Q_i} \dot{Q}_i \right) + \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial t}$$

And so, we have:

 $\overline{\dot{q}_k} = \dot{q}_k(Q, \dot{Q}, t)$

Apparently, we care about invariance

Transformed Lagrangian:

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{L}}(Q, \dot{Q}, t) &= \mathcal{L}(q(Q, t), \dot{q}(Q, \dot{Q}, t), t) \\ \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial \dot{Q}_i} &= \sum_k \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial Q_i} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial \dot{Q}_i} \right) &= \sum_k \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial Q_i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_k}{\partial Q_i} \right) \end{split}$$

Apparently, we care about invariance

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Q_i} = \sum_k \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_k} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial Q_I} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_k}{\partial Q_i} \right)$$
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial \dot{Q}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial Q_i} = \sum_k \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_k} \right) \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial Q_i} = 0$$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

Getting less lazy

Legendre done wrong

The conjugate momentum:

$$p_k = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q_k}}$$

The hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}(q, p, t) = \sum_{k} \left(p_k \dot{q_k} \right) - \mathcal{L}$$

It is the energy... kinda?

Legendre done wrong

Hamilton's equations:

$$\dot{p_i} = -rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i} ~~ \dot{q_i} = rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i}$$

There's a third one, which we won't really care about:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t}$$

Legendre done wrong

Hamilton's equations:

$$\dot{p_i} = -rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i} ~~ \dot{q_i} = rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i}$$

There's a third one, which we won't really care about:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t}$$

Variational methods strike back

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{k} p_k \dot{q_k} - H$$

We can write the action as:

$$S = \int_{t1}^{t2} \sum_k p_k \dot{q_k} - H \; \mathsf{dt}$$

This gives us Hamilton's equations

Invariance is on steroids now

Canonical transformations

Change of coordinates in phase space $(q, p) \rightarrow (Q, P)$:

$$Q_k = Q_k(q, p, t)$$
 $P_k = P_k(q, p, t)$
 $\dot{Q}_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial P_i}$ $\dot{P}_i = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial Q_i}$

 $\mathcal{K}(Q, P, t)$ is the transformed Hamiltonian.

Invariance is on steroids now

Canonical transformations

$$\sum_{k} \left(P_k dQ_k - p_k dq_k \right) + (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{H}) dt = d\Phi$$

If this equation is satisfied, we have a canonical transformation.

Generating Functions

Natural choice is $\Phi = F(q, Q, t)$

$$p_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \quad P_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q_i}$$

Transformed Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{K}(Q, P, t) = \mathcal{H}(Q, P, t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$

Generating Functions

Natural choice is $\Phi = F(q, Q, t)$

$$p_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \quad P_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q_i}$$

Transformed Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{K}(Q, P, t) = \mathcal{H}(Q, P, t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Generating Functions

Natural choice is $\Phi = F(q, Q, t)$

$$p_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \quad P_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q_i}$$

Transformed Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{K}(Q, P, t) = \mathcal{H}(Q, P, t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$

More generating functions

New generating function:

$$G(q, P, t) = \sum_{i} P_i Q_i + F(q, Q(q, P, t), t)$$

Skipping a few steps:

$$p_i = \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \quad Q_i = \frac{\partial G}{\partial P_i}$$

And the transformed Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}$$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

More generating functions

New generating function:

$$G(q, P, t) = \sum_{i} P_i Q_i + F(q, Q(q, P, t), t)$$

Skipping a few steps:

$$p_i = \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \quad Q_i = \frac{\partial G}{\partial P_i}$$

And the transformed Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}$$

More generating functions

New generating function:

$$G(q, P, t) = \sum_{i} P_i Q_i + F(q, Q(q, P, t), t)$$

Skipping a few steps:

$$p_i = \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \quad Q_i = \frac{\partial G}{\partial P_i}$$

And the transformed Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}$$

$$egin{aligned} Q_i &= Q_i(q,p) \quad P_i = P_i(q,p) \ \dot{Q}_i &= \sum_k rac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_k} \dot{q}_k + rac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_k} \dot{p}_k \end{aligned}$$

 $\dot{Q}_i = \sum_k \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_k} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_k}$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

$$egin{aligned} Q_i &= Q_i(q,p) \quad P_i = P_i(q,p) \ \dot{Q}_i &= \sum_k rac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_k} \dot{q}_k + rac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_k} \dot{p}_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\dot{Q}_i = \sum_k rac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_k} rac{\partial H}{\partial p_k} - rac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_k} rac{\partial H}{\partial q_k}$$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Inverse:

$$q_k = q_k(Q, P) \quad p_k = p_k(Q, P)$$

Then:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial P_i} = \sum_k \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_k} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial P_i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial P_i}$$

Inverse:

$$q_k = q_k(Q, P) \quad p_k = p_k(Q, P)$$

Then:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial P_i} = \sum_k \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_k} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial P_i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial P_i}$$

$$\dot{Q}_i = rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial P_i}$$
if:

$\left(\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_k}\right)_{(q,p)} = \left(\frac{\partial q_k}{\partial P_i}\right)_{(Q,P)} \quad \left(\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_k}\right)_{(q,p)} = -\left(\frac{\partial p_k}{\partial P_i}\right)_{(Q,P)}$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

$$\dot{Q}_i = rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial P_i}$$
 if:

$$\left(\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_k}\right)_{(q,p)} = \left(\frac{\partial q_k}{\partial P_i}\right)_{(Q,P)} \quad \left(\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_k}\right)_{(q,p)} = -\left(\frac{\partial p_k}{\partial P_i}\right)_{(Q,P)}$$

All over again

Same procedure with \dot{P}_i and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial Q_i}$:

$\left(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial q_k}\right)_{(q,p)} = -\left(\frac{\partial p_k}{\partial Q_i}\right)_{(Q,P)} \quad \left(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial p_k}\right)_{(q,p)} = \left(\frac{\partial q_k}{\partial Q_i}\right)_{(Q,P)}$

 $\mathcal{K}(Q, P, t) = \mathcal{H}(q(Q, P), p(Q, P), t)$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

All over again

Same procedure with \dot{P}_i and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial Q_i}$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial q_k}\right)_{(q,p)} = -\left(\frac{\partial p_k}{\partial Q_i}\right)_{(Q,P)} \quad \left(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial p_k}\right)_{(q,p)} = \left(\frac{\partial q_k}{\partial Q_i}\right)_{(Q,P)}$$

 $\mathcal{K}(Q, P, t) = \mathcal{H}(q(Q, P), p(Q, P), t)$

All over again

Same procedure with \dot{P}_i and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial Q_i}$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial q_k}\right)_{(q,p)} = -\left(\frac{\partial p_k}{\partial Q_i}\right)_{(Q,P)} \quad \left(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial p_k}\right)_{(q,p)} = \left(\frac{\partial q_k}{\partial Q_i}\right)_{(Q,P)}$$

 $\mathcal{K}(Q, P, t) = \mathcal{H}(q(Q, P), p(Q, P), t)$

$$\hat{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{\zeta}(\hat{\eta}) = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1(q, p) \\ Q_2(q, p) \\ \vdots \\ Q_n(q, p) \\ P_1(q, p) \\ P_2(q, p) \\ \vdots \\ P_n(q, p) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{\eta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_n} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_n} \end{pmatrix} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{\zeta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial Q_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial Q_n} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial P_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial P_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{J} = egin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}_n & \mathcal{I}_n \ -\mathcal{I}_n & \mathcal{O}_n \end{pmatrix}$$
 $\hat{J}^2 = -\mathcal{I}_{2n}$
 $\hat{J}^T \hat{J} = \hat{J} \hat{J}^T = -\hat{J}$
det $\hat{J} = 1$

Hamilton's equations for (q, p) and (Q, P):



$$\hat{\dot{\zeta}} = \hat{M}\hat{\dot{\eta}} \quad \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\hat{\eta}} = \hat{M}^T \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\hat{\zeta}}$$

Hamilton's equations for (q, p) and (Q, P):

$$\hat{\dot{\eta}} = \hat{J} rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{\eta}} \;\; \hat{\dot{\zeta}} = \hat{J} rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{\zeta}}$$

$$\hat{\dot{\zeta}} = \hat{M}\hat{\dot{\eta}} \quad \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\hat{\eta}} = \hat{M}^T \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\hat{\zeta}}$$
We love matrices

Hamilton's equations for (q, p) and (Q, P):

$$\hat{\dot{\eta}} = \hat{J} rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{\eta}} \;\; \hat{\dot{\zeta}} = \hat{J} rac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{\zeta}}$$

$$\hat{\dot{\zeta}} = \hat{M}\hat{\eta} \quad \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\hat{\eta}} = \hat{M}^T \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\hat{\zeta}}$$

Invariance is on steroids now

I promise this simplifies things

Then:

$$\hat{J}\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{\zeta}} = \hat{M}\hat{J}\hat{M}^T\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{\zeta}}$$
$$\hat{M}\hat{J}\hat{M}^T = \hat{M}^T\hat{J}\hat{M} = \hat{J}$$

Canonical transformation jacobian matrices are part of the sympletic group $Sp_{(2n,\mathbb{R})}$

Invariance is on steroids now

I promise this simplifies things

Then:

$$\hat{J}\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{\zeta}} = \hat{M}\hat{J}\hat{M}^T\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{\zeta}}$$
$$\hat{M}\hat{J}\hat{M}^T = \hat{M}^T\hat{J}\hat{M} = \hat{J}$$

Canonical transformation jacobian matrices are part of the sympletic group $Sp_{(2n,\mathbb{R})}$

Poisson Brackets

$$F(q, \dot{q}, t):$$

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \sum_{k} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{k}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{k}} - \frac{\partial F}{p_{k}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_{k}} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \{F, H\} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$

$$\{F,G\} = \sum_{k} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_k} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial F}{p_k} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_k}$$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Canonical invariants

$$\{F,G\}_{\hat{\eta}} = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \hat{\eta}}\right)^T \hat{J} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \hat{\eta}}$$
$$\{F,G\}_{\hat{\eta}} = \left(\hat{M}^T \frac{\partial F}{\partial \hat{\zeta}}\right)^T \hat{J} \left(\hat{M}^T \frac{\partial G}{\partial \hat{\zeta}}\right)$$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

Canonical invariants

$$\{F,G\}_{\hat{\eta}} = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \hat{\zeta}}\right)^T \hat{M} \hat{J} \hat{M}^T \frac{\partial G}{\partial \hat{\zeta}}$$
$$\{F,G\}_{\hat{\eta}} = \{F,G\}_{\hat{\zeta}}$$

Poisson brackets are canonical invariants!

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

Invariance is on steroids now

Poisson brackets and Quantum Theory

Properties:

$$\begin{split} \{A,B\} &= -\{B,A\} \\ \{A+\alpha B,C\} &= \{A,C\} + \alpha\{B,C\} \\ \{AB,C\} &= A\{B,C\} + \{A,C\}B \\ \{\{A,B\},C\} + \{\{B,C\},A\} + \{\{C,A\},B\} = 0 \end{split}$$

Poisson brackets and Quantum Theory

Generates a Lie Algebra!

What else generates a Lie Algebra? Cross product and matrix commutator! Similarities between theories: Heisenberg's representation and Poisson Brackets formulation:

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{A},\hat{B}] \xrightarrow{\hbar \to 0} \{A,B\}$$

Poisson brackets and Quantum Theory

Generates a Lie Algebra!

What else generates a Lie Algebra? Cross product and matrix commutator!

Similarities between theories: Heisenberg's representation and Poisson Brackets formulation:

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{A},\hat{B}] \xrightarrow{\hbar \to 0} \{A,B\}$$

Poisson brackets and Quantum Theory

Generates a Lie Algebra!

What else generates a Lie Algebra? Cross product and matrix commutator! Similarities between theories: Heisenberg's representation and Poisson Brackets formulation:

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{A},\hat{B}] \xrightarrow{\hbar \to 0} \{A,B\}$$

Action as a generator

Infinitesimal transformations

$$Q_i = q_i + \delta q_i = q_i + \epsilon f_i(q, p, t) \quad P_i = p_i + \delta p_i = p_i + \epsilon g_i(q, p, t)$$

Remember:

Using $\Phi = \epsilon F$:

Infinitesimal transformations

$$Q_i = q_i + \delta q_i = q_i + \epsilon f_i(q, p, t) \quad P_i = p_i + \delta p_i = p_i + \epsilon g_i(q, p, t)$$

Remember:

$$\sum_{i} p_i dq_i - P_i dQ_i = d\Phi$$

Using $\Phi = \epsilon F$:

Infinitesimal transformations

$$Q_i = q_i + \delta q_i = q_i + \epsilon f_i(q, p, t) \quad P_i = p_i + \delta p_i = p_i + \epsilon g_i(q, p, t)$$

Remember:

$$\sum_{i} p_i dq_i - P_i dQ_i = d\Phi$$

Using $\Phi = \overline{\epsilon F}$:

$$\sum_{i} g_i dq_i + p_i df_i = -dF$$

Action as a generator

Infinitesimal transformations

$$G = \sum_{i} p_{i}f_{i} + F:$$
 $\sum_{i} g_{i}dq_{i} - f_{i}dp_{i} = -dG$
 $\delta\hat{\eta} = \epsilon\{\hat{\eta}, G\}$

$$egin{aligned} \epsilon &= \mathsf{dt} \quad G = \mathcal{H} \ \delta q_i &= \dot{q}_i \mathsf{dt} \quad \delta p_i = \dot{p}_i \mathsf{dt} \ Q_i &= q_i (t + \mathsf{dt}) \quad P_i = p_i (t + \mathsf{dt}) \end{aligned}$$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

$$t o t+dt$$
: $\Phi=\epsilon F={
m dt}(H-\sum_i p_i\dot{q_i})=-{\cal L}\;{
m dt}$

$$t \rightarrow t_0$$
:

$$\Phi = S$$

$$t o t + dt$$
:
 $\Phi = \epsilon F = dt(H - \sum_{i} p_{i}\dot{q}_{i}) = -\mathcal{L} dt$
 $t_{0} o t$:

$$\Phi = -\int_{t_0}^\iota \mathcal{L} \; \mathsf{dt} = -S$$

 $t \rightarrow t_0$:

 $\Phi = S$

$$t \rightarrow t + dt$$
:

$$\Phi = \epsilon F = dt(H - \sum_{i} p_{i}\dot{q_{i}}) = -\mathcal{L} dt$$

$$t_{0} \rightarrow t$$
:

$$\Phi = -\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathcal{L} dt = -S$$

 $t \rightarrow \overline{t_0}$:

$$\Phi = S$$

Almost There

Generating function F(q, P, t):

$$p_{i} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{i}} \quad Q_{i} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_{i}}$$
$$\mathcal{H} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \mathcal{K}$$

$$\mathcal{K} = 0$$
$$Q_i = \beta_i \quad P_i = \alpha_i$$
$$\mathcal{H}(q, p, t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0$$
$$p_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \quad \beta_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_i}$$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

$$F = S(q, \alpha, t)$$

Hamilton-Jacobi Equation:

$$\mathcal{H}(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, t) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$$
$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \sum_{i} p_{i} \dot{q}_{i} - \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}$$
$$S = \int \mathcal{L} \, dt + \text{constant}$$

Separation of variables

 \mathcal{H} independent of time:

 $S = W(q) - \alpha_1 t$ $\alpha_1 = \mathcal{H}$ $\frac{\partial S}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_i} = p_i$

Separation of variables

\mathcal{H} independent of q_i :

$$S = W_1(q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n, t) + \alpha_i q_i$$

Grand Finale

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2m} \left(\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right) + V(x, y, z) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} &= 0\\ \frac{1}{2m} |\nabla S|^2 + V(x, y, z) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} &= 0\\ S(x, y, z, t) &= W(x, y, z) - Et \end{split}$$

$$\vec{p} = \nabla W$$
 and $|\nabla W| = \sqrt{2m(E-V)}$
$$S = \mathsf{Phase}$$

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

Two surfaces S = C:

$$\frac{dW}{dt} - E = 0$$
$$E = |\nabla W| \frac{dl}{dt}$$
$$\frac{dl}{dt} = v = \frac{E}{\sqrt{2m(E - V)}}$$

Phase velocity \neq particle's velocity!

$$v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dt}$$

$$v = \hbar k \quad E = 2\pi\hbar \nu$$

$$\omega = \frac{V}{\hbar} + \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}$$

$$v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk} = \frac{\hbar k}{m}$$
$$v_g = \frac{p}{m}$$

Schrödinger conjectured:

$$\Psi = \exp\left\{\frac{iS}{\hbar}\right\}$$

$$S = -i\hbar\ln\Psi$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = \frac{-i\hbar}{\Psi} \; \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m\Psi^2}|\nabla\Psi|^2 + V = \frac{i\hbar}{\Psi}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}$$
 Wrong??

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)

Connecting Dots

$$\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} = \frac{i\hbar}{\Psi^2} \left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x}\right)^2 - \frac{i\hbar}{\Psi} \frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial x^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial p_x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial x \partial \dot{x}}$$
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{m(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2)}{2} - V(x, y, z)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{\Psi} \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 = \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2}$$
$$\frac{1}{\Psi} |\nabla \Psi|^2 = \nabla^2 \Psi$$
Schrödinger's Equation

$$\begin{split} & \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\Psi + V\Psi = i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}\\ \text{Using }S(x,y,z,t) = W(x,y,z) - Et;\\ & \frac{-\hbar^2}{2}\nabla^2\Psi + V\Psi - E\Psi \end{split}$$

2m

Pedro Tredezini (IFUSP)